RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM





Electron Transfer in Biophotoelectrochemical Devices

Nicolas Plumeré

Ruhr-Universität Bochum Center for Electrochemical sciences Bochum, Germany.

COST Training School "Phototech for Biosensors and Energy" Athen, Greece, 24 October 2013

nicolas.plumere@rub.de



Photosystem basedphotovoltaics





T. Kothe, N. Plumeré, A. Badura, M. M. Nowaczyk, D. A. Gushin, M. Rögner, W. Schuhmann, *Angewandte Chemie*, **2013**, accepted,

N. Plumeré, Nature Nanotechnology, 2012, 7(10), 616-617.

Gerster, D., Reichert, J., Bi, H., Barth, J. V., Kaniber, S. M., Holleitner, A. W., Visoly-Fisher, I., Sergani, S., Carmeli, I. *Nature Nanotech* **2012**, *7*, 673–676.



Heering, H. A., Hirst, J., Armstrong, F. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 6889–6902. Nicholson, R. S., Shain, I. Anal. Chem. 1964, 36, 706–723.

Advanced Electroanalytical Methods

• Electrochemical methods offer efficient alternatives (to laser-flash experiments) for the study of electron transfer in biophotoelectrochemical systems.



• Kinetic measurements using, for example, cyclic voltammetry are based on the coupling between one heterogeneous electrochemical reaction and the catalytic reaction to be studied.

• The resulting "catalytic current" contains the kinetic information.

• The extraction of rate constants from (light driven) enzymatic electrocatalysis is well established.

More than measuring photocurrents: electroanalytical methods allow to identify rate limiting steps in bio(photo)electrochemical systems.











- Determination of the heterogeneous electron transfer rates.
- Determination of the kinetics of reactions with substrate or charge carrier.

Voltammetry of adsorbed monolayers

Reversible system - adsorbed species



The oxidation and reduction signal are symmetrical (ideal case).

 $\Delta E_{\rm p} = 0$ and $E_{\rm p} = E^{0}$

Peak current is given by:

$$i_{\rm p} = \frac{n^2 F^2}{4RT} v A \Gamma_{\rm O}^*$$

 $Q = nFA\Gamma_0$

6

Protein Film Voltammetry Direct electron transfer

Peroxidase with Heme





Deviation from ideal protein film voltammetry:

 $\Delta E_{\rm p} = 55 \text{ mV} > 0$

Reason for $\Delta E_{\rm p} > 0$: kinetic limitation often because of slow electron transfer between electrode and redox sites.

The electron transfer is not reversible but quasireversible: it is defined by the heterogeneous electron transfer rate (k_s) and the transfer coefficient (α).

Chem. Commun., 2001, 177-178

J. Electroanal. Chem., 101 (1979) 19-28



If ΔE_p ($E_{p,c}$ - $E_{p,a}$) value larger than 200 mV can be obtained experimentally by varying the scan rate v, α can easily be obtained by plotting E_p vs log v.





J. Electroanal. Chem., 101 (1979) 19-28

Electron transfer rate constant Determination of k_s and α based on ΔE_p by varying the scan rate u.

 k_s can be calculated with the help of the equation:

 $\log k = \alpha \log(1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha) \log \alpha - \log(RT/nFu) - \alpha(1-\alpha)nF \Delta E_p / 2.3RT$







PS1 immobilized on pyrolytic graphite electrodes







Cyclic Voltammetry



Cyclic Voltammetry

Alcantara, K., Munge, B., Pendon, Z., Frank, H. A., Rusling, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14930–14937.



PS2 immobilized on pyrolytic graphite electrodes



Alcantara, K., Munge, B., Pendon, Z., Frank, H. A., Rusling, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14930–14937.



Alcantara, K., Munge, B., Pendon, Z., Frank, H. A., Rusling, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14930–14937.







- Determination of the heterogeneous electron transfer rates.
- Determination of the kinetics of reactions with substrate or charge carrier.





Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstrong, F. A. Biochemistry 1993, 32, 5455–5465

In presence of substrate - Rotating disk electrodes (RDE)



Under steady state conditions:

 $\frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i_{Lev}} + \frac{1}{i_E} + \frac{1}{i_{cat}}$

The 1st term deals with transport of substrate molecules between bulk of solution and enzyme, which is described by the levich equation:

$$i_{Lev} = 0.62 n FAD^{2/3} C v^{-1/6} \omega^{1/2}$$

With : A: electrode surface area C: bulk concentration of substrate D: diffusion coefficient of substrate v: kinematic viscosity of the solution ω: electrode rotation rate

Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstrong, F. A. Biochemistry 1993, 32, 5455–5465

With :

In presence of substrate - Rotating disk electrodes (RDE)



Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstror A. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 5455–5465 The 2nd term is the current contribution due to interfacial electron transfer between the electrode and the primary electron entry/exit site on the enzyme. The potential dependence of i_E is given by marcus theory or Butler-Volmer model:

$$i_{E} = nFAk_{s}[\Gamma_{0}exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha nF(E-E^{0'})}{RT}\right\} - \Gamma_{R}exp\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)nF(E-E^{0'})}{RT}\right\}$$

Γ: surface concentrationα: transfer coefficientn: number of electronE: applied electrode potentialE⁰: apparent standard potential

In presence of substrate - Rotating disk electrodes (RDE)



Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstrong, F. A. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 5455–5465

The 3rd term describes the catalytic properties of the enzyme and is assumed to be independent of electrode rotation. It can be expressed as the electrochemical form of the Michaelis Menten equation:

$$i_{cat} = \frac{nFA\Gamma k_{cat}C}{C+K_M}$$

 $K_{\rm M}$ and $k_{\rm cat}$ are the apparent michaelis-Menten parameters, which are assumed to be independent of applied potential. For $C >> K_{\rm M}$, $i_{\rm cat}$ becomes independant of C. 21

In presence of substrate - Rotating disk electrodes (RDE)



A. Biochemistry **1993**, 32, 5455–5465





Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstrong, F. A. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 5455–5465





Therefore,

 $\frac{1}{i_L} = \frac{1}{i_{Lev}} + \frac{1}{i_{cat}}$

or:

$$\frac{1}{i_L} = \frac{1}{0.62nFAD^{\frac{2}{3}}Cv^{-\frac{1}{6}}\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C + K_M}{nFA\Gamma k_{cat}C}$$

 $i_{\rm L}$ is measured for various ω , and $1/i_{\rm L}$ is plotted vs $\omega^{1/2}$. The intercept on the $1/i_{\rm L}$ axis yields $1/i_{\rm cat}$. (*D* can be obtained from the slope).

Sucheta, A., Cammack, R., Weiner, J., Armstrong, F. A. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 5455–5465





$$\frac{1}{i_L} = \frac{1}{0.62nFAD^{\frac{2}{3}}Cv^{-\frac{1}{6}}\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C+K_M}{nFA\Gamma k_{cat}C}$$

The measurements are repeated for increasing substrate concentration.

In presence of substrate - Rotating disk electrodes (RDE)



$$\frac{1}{i_L} = \frac{1}{0.62nFAD^{\frac{2}{3}}Cv^{-\frac{1}{6}}\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C + K_M}{nFA\Gamma k_{cat}C}$$

The measurements are repeated for increasing substrate concentration.

And the i_{cat} values are plotted vs the corresponding *C* values.

 k_{cat} and K_{M} are obtain from this Michaelis-Menten curve by inserting numerical values for n, F, A and Γ (obtained from CV) in:

$$i_{cat} = \frac{nFA\Gamma k_{cat}C}{C + K_M} \qquad 26$$



- 3 common cases:
- Both enzyme and mediator are freely diffusing in solution.
- Enzyme is adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator is freely diffusing in solution.
- Both enzyme and mediator are adsorbed on electrode surface.





Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution





 $V_{\rm tot}$ = 200 μ L



28

Plumeré, Henig, Campbell, Anal. Chem., **2012**, 84, 2141-2146

Mediated Bioelectrochemistry

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

- $\bullet~M_{\text{ox}}$ and M_{red} : oxidized and reduced forms of the mediator
- $\bullet~\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{ox}}$ and $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{red}}$: oxidized and reduced enzyme.
- S and P: substrate and product of the enzymatic reaction.
- $D_{\rm E}$ and $D_{\rm M}$: diffusion coefficients of enzyme and mediator.

Determination of k and k_{cat} ?



29

Mediated Bioelectrochemistry

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

• The reactions occuring in solutions are:

$$S + E_{\text{ox}} \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_{\text{cat}}} P + E_{\text{red}}$$

$$M_{\rm ox} + E_{\rm red} \xrightarrow{k} M_{\rm red} + E_{\rm ox}$$

with

$$K_{\rm MS} = (k_{-1} + k_{\rm cat})/k_1$$

• At the electrode surface:

$$M_{\rm red} \rightarrow M_{\rm ox} + e^{-1}$$



30

Determination of *k*

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

• The reactions occuring in solutions are:

$$S + E_{\text{ox}} \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} ES \stackrel{k_{\text{cat}}}{\longrightarrow} P + E_{\text{red}}$$

$$M_{\rm ox} + E_{\rm red} \stackrel{k}{\frown} M_{\rm red} + E_{\rm ox}$$

with

$$K_{\rm MS} = (k_{-1} + k_{\rm cat})/k_1$$

• At the electrode surface:

 $M_{\rm red} \rightarrow M_{\rm ox} + e^-$





Determination of k

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

• The reactions occuring in solutions are:

$$S + E_{\text{ox}} \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_{\text{cat}}} P + E_{\text{red}}$$

$$M_{\rm ox} + E_{\rm red} \stackrel{\&}{\longrightarrow} M_{\rm red} + E_{\rm ox}$$

with

$$K_{\rm MS} = (k_{\rm -1} + k_{\rm cat})/k_1$$

• At the electrode surface:

 $M_{\rm red} \rightarrow M_{\rm ox} + e^{-}$



Determination of *k*

$$M_{\rm red} \rightarrow M_{\rm ox} + e^{-k}$$

 $M_{\rm ox} + E_{\rm red} \xrightarrow{k} M_{\rm red} + E_{\rm ox}$

Enzyme - Mediator limited kinetics



Assumptions: 1. pseudo-first order conditions, i.e: $k c_M \ll k_{cat}$ 2. substrate concentration (c_S) remains constant, i.e: $c_S \gg c_P$ 3. long time scale (low scan rates):

$$i_{\rm p} = nFAc_{\rm M}(D_{\rm M}kc_{\rm E})^{1/2}$$

33

Determination of k_{cat} (and K_{MS})

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

• The reactions occuring in solutions are:

 $S + E_{ox} \stackrel{k_1}{\leftarrow} ES \stackrel{k_{cat}}{\longrightarrow} P + E_{red}$

$$M_{\rm ox} + E_{\rm red} \xrightarrow{k} M_{\rm red} + E_{\rm ox}$$

with

$$K_{\rm MS} = (k_{\rm -1} + k_{\rm cat})/k_1$$

• At the electrode surface:

 $M_{\rm red} \rightarrow M_{\rm ox} + e^-$







1

Enzyme - Substrate limited kinetics:

At high mediator concentration, the catalytic current is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{A} \left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{M}}k_{\mathrm{cat}}c_{\mathrm{E}}c_{\mathrm{M}}c_{\mathrm{S}}}{c_{\mathrm{S}} + K_{\mathrm{MS}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For $c_{\rm S} \ll K_{\rm MS}$

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{A}\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{M}}k_{\mathrm{cat}}c_{\mathrm{E}}c_{\mathrm{M}}c_{\mathrm{S}}}{K_{\mathrm{MS}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus a plot of *i* against $c_{\rm S}^{1/2}$ should give a straight line through the origin at low $c_{\rm S}$. From the slope of this line a value for the ratio $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm MS}$ can be obtained.

Determination of k_{cat} (and K_{MS})

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

1

Enzyme - Substrate limited kinetics:

At high mediator concentration, the catalytic current is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{A} \left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{M}}k_{\mathrm{cat}}c_{\mathrm{E}}c_{\mathrm{M}}c_{\mathrm{S}}}{c_{\mathrm{S}} + K_{\mathrm{MS}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For $c_{\rm S} \ll K_{\rm MS}$

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{A} \left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{M}}k_{\mathrm{cat}}c_{\mathrm{E}}c_{\mathrm{M}}c_{\mathrm{S}}}{K_{\mathrm{MS}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus a plot of *i* against $c_{\rm S}^{1/2}$ should give a straight line through the origin at low $c_{\rm S}$. From the slope of this line a value for the ratio $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm MS}$ can be obtained.



<u>SE</u>

Determination of k_{cat} (and K_{MS})

Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{A} \left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{M}}k_{\mathrm{cat}}c_{\mathrm{E}}c_{\mathrm{M}}c_{\mathrm{S}}}{c_{\mathrm{S}} + K_{\mathrm{MS}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The value of the rate constant k_{cat} is calculated from the current in the saturated region, imax, i.e. when $c_{S} >> K_{MS}$

$$i_{\text{max}} = nFA(D_{\text{M}}k_{\text{cat}}c_{\text{E}}c_{\text{M}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

To verify the assumptions based on $K_{\rm MS}$, the value of the latter is then extracted from the value of $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm MS}$



Determination of k, k_{cat} (and K_{M})

Summary: Enzyme and mediator freely diffusing in solution



PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution



Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.



J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13686-13692.

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution





Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.

POTENTIAL (Volt/SCE)

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution



Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.



POTENTIAL (Volt/SCE)

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution

k



Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.

Determination of
$$k_3$$
?

$$k_{app} = \frac{2k_3}{\rho + \sigma} \left\{ 1 - 2[(\rho + \sigma)(\rho - 3\sigma)]^{-1/2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left((2\sigma + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho + \sigma}{3\sigma - \rho}} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho + \sigma}{3\sigma - \rho}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\sigma = k_3 C_6^0 / k_2 C_{MV}^0 \qquad \rho = k_3 C_6^0 / k_1$$
For $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho \rightarrow 0$, $k_{app} = k_3$
This is the case for $C_6 \rightarrow 0$

(reduction of P700⁺ by C_6^{red} becomes rate limiting)

$$k_3 = 6 \pm 0.5 \ 10^6 \ \mathrm{M}^{-1} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$$
 43

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution





Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.

44

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution



Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.

Determination of k_2 ? ${}_{p} = \frac{2k_3}{\rho + \sigma} \left\{ 1 - 2[(\rho + \sigma)(\rho - 3\sigma)]^{-1/2} \\ \left[\tan^{-1} \left((2\sigma + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\rho + \sigma}{3\sigma - \rho}} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho + \sigma}{3\sigma - \rho}} \right) \right] \right\}$

$$\sigma = k_3 C_6^0 / k_2 C_{MV}^0 \quad \rho = k_3 C_6^0 / k_1$$

Decrease viologen concentration: ncrease σ but $\rho \rightarrow 0$,



PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution





Proux-Delrouyre, V., Demaille, C., Leibl, W., Sétif, P., Bottin, H., Bourdillon, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13686–13692.

PS1 and mediators freely diffusing in solution



Charge recombination ?

- between MV^{+•} and electrode
- between MV+• and C6ox
- between MV^{+•} and P700⁺

 \rightarrow increase concentration of the quencher (O₂) as qualitative test for charge recombination processes.

- \rightarrow compare k_2 values from:
- Laser flash experiments (single turnover)
- Electrochemical experiments (steady-state conditions)



- 3 common cases:
- Both enzyme and mediator are freely diffusing in solution
- Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution
- Both enzyme and mediator are adsorbed on electrode surface.



W. H. Campbell, J. Henig, N. Plumeré, *Bioelectrochemistry*, **2013**, *93*, 46-50.

Balland, V., Hureau, C., Cusano, A., Liu, Y., Tron, T., Limoges, B. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 7186–7192.

Mediated Bioelectrochemistry

Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

- \bullet M_{ox} and M_{red} : oxidized and reduced forms of the mediator
- \bullet E_{ox} and E_{red} : oxidized and reduced enzyme.
- S and P: substrate and product of the enzymatic reaction.
- • $D_{\rm M}$: diffusion coefficients of mediator.

Determination of *k* and *k*_{cat} ?



Determination of *k* and *k*_{cat} Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

The current flowing through the electrode according to the reaction scheme below is given the following equation:

 $i = i_{\rm D} + i_{\rm cat}$

$$i = FAD_{\rm M} \left(\frac{\partial c_{\rm M}}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} + \frac{nFA\Gamma_{\rm E}}{\frac{1}{k_{\rm cat}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\rm M}}}$$

n: number of electrons involved in the reduction or oxidation of S to P.*F*: Faraday constant

- A: electrode surface area.
- Γ_E : enzyme surface concentration.



Determination of *k* **and** *k*_{cat}

Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

 i_{cat} is obtained by subtracting the diffusion current (i_{D}) from the total current (i).

The experiment is repeated for several $c_{\rm M}$ values and $1/i_{\rm cat}$ is plotted vs $1/c_{\rm M}$

$$i_{\rm cat} = \frac{nFA\Gamma_{\rm E}}{\frac{1}{k_{\rm cat}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\rm M}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{i_{\text{cat}}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{cat}} n F A \Gamma_{\text{E}}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\text{M}} n F A \Gamma_{\text{E}}}$$



Determination of *k* **and** *k*_{cat}

Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

 i_{cat} is obtained by subtracting the diffusion current (i_{D}) from the total current (i).

The experiment is repeated for several $c_{\rm M}$ values and $1/i_{\rm cat}$ is plotted vs $1/c_{\rm M}$

$$i_{\rm cat} = \frac{nFA\Gamma_{\rm E}}{\frac{1}{k_{\rm cat}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\rm M}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{i_{\text{cat}}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{cat}} n F A \Gamma_{\text{E}}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\text{M}} n F A \Gamma_{\text{E}}}$$

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value



Determination of *k* and *k*_{cat} Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

Alternative methods for k_{cat} determination based on equation:

$$i_{\text{cat}} = \frac{nFA\Gamma_{\text{E}}}{\frac{1}{k_{\text{cat}}} + \frac{1}{k c_{\text{M}}}} \qquad (1)$$

If $k_{cat} \ll kc_{M}$ (high c_{M} value), equation (1) simplifies to :

$$i_{\rm cat} = nFA\Gamma_{\rm E}k_{\rm cat}$$



Surface 53 confined



and mediator meery annaoing in borat

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine $\Gamma_{\rm E}$ value to obtain *k* and $k_{\rm cat}$ values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance

Manocchi, A. K., Baker, D. R., Pendley, S. S., Nguyen, K., Hurley, M. M., Bruce, B. D., Sumner, J. J., Lundgren, C. A. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 2412–2419.



Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine $\Gamma_{\rm E}$ value to obtain *k* and $k_{\rm cat}$ values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance

Manocchi, A. K., Baker, D. R., Pendley, S. S., Nguyen, K., Hurley, M. M., Bruce, B. D., Sumner, J. J., Lundgren, C. A. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 2412–2419.



Color Scale: 0-20 nm

Determination of Γ_E Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface

and mediator freely diffusing in solution

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine $\Gamma_{\rm E}$ value to obtain *k* and $k_{\rm cat}$ values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance





and mediator freely diffusing in solution

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine Γ_E value to obtain *k* and k_{cat} values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance

Balland, V., Hureau, C., Cusano, A., Liu, Y., Tron, T., Limoges, B. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 7186–7192.

Determination of Γ_E

Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine $\Gamma_{\rm E}$ value to obtain *k* and $k_{\rm cat}$ values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance



Badura, A., Esper, B., Ataka, K., Grunwald, C., Wöll, C., Kuhlmann, J., Heberle, J., Rögner, M. *Photochem Photobiol* **2006**, *82*, 1385. Determination of Γ_E

Enzyme adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator freely diffusing in solution

From intercept: $k_{cat}\Gamma_E$ value From slope: $k\Gamma_E$ value

How to determine $\Gamma_{\rm E}$ value to obtain *k* and $k_{\rm cat}$ values ?

- AFM
- EQCM
- Desorption of the enzyme followed by quantification.
- SPR
- UV-Vis Absorbance



Efrati, A., Tel-Vered, R., Michaeli, D., Nechushtai, R., Willner, I. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 2950.



3 cases:

- Both enzyme and mediator are freely diffusing in solution.
- Enzyme is adsorbed on electrode surface and mediator is freely diffusing in solution.
- Both enzyme and mediator are adsorbed on electrode surface.



Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3292 - 3300



- M_{ox} and M_{red}: oxidized and reduced forms of the mediator
- \bullet E_{ox} and E_{red} : oxidized and reduced enzyme.
- S and P: substrate and product of the enzymatic reaction.



Determination of *k* and *k*_{cat}?

Enzyme and mediator adsorbed on electrode surface

 \bullet M_{ox} and M_{red} : oxidized and reduced forms of the mediator

- \bullet E_{ox} and E_{red} : oxidized and reduced enzyme.
- S and P: substrate and product of the enzymatic reaction.

The determination of the individual values of k and k_{cat} is difficult since the concentration of M cannot be varied to reach pseudo first order conditions. Instead the global rate constant k' may be determined:

$$\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{cat}}} + \frac{1}{k}$$



Determination of *k*⁴

Enzyme and mediator adsorbed on electrode surface



Determination of k⁴

Enzyme and mediator adsorbed on electrode surface



Determination of k⁺

Enzyme and mediator adsorbed on electrode surface



substrate mass transport is not limiting)



For enzymes, which can be excited by light, the light intensity can be considered as an equivalent to the substrate concentration and the Michaelis–Menten equation can be applied to yield $K_{M/app}$

Light saturation curve of PS1 entrapped within a matrix of redox polymer on an electrode. The inset represents the reciprocal Lineweaver–Burk plot obtained from the saturation curve.



Badura, A., Guschin, D., Kothe, T., Kopczak, M. J., Schuhmann, W., Rögner, M. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 2435–2440.

Photosynthesis APC ATPase APC PS2 PS2 Cytoplasmic membrane 2 µm Thylakoid membrane



•Thermosynechococcus elongatus:

- unicellular, Gram-positive
- thermophilic organism (opt. 55℃)
- photoautotrophe
- completely sequenced genome

➔ modell organism for photosynthesis research



Combination of

- •PS2: most oxidative force in nature
- •PS1: most reductive force in nature

How to recover the energy from the potential difference between PS2 and PS1?

 $\rightarrow \Delta E$ of 560 mV

Semi-artificial photosynthesis half cells



Badura, Guschin, Esper, Kothe, Neugebauer, Schuhmann, Rögner, Electroanalysis 20, **2008**, 10, 1043 – 1047

69

Semi-artificial photosynthesis half cells



Badura, A., Guschin, D., Kothe, T., Kopczak, M. J., Schuhmann, W., Rögner, M. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 2435–2440.

70

T. Kothe, N. Plumeré, A. Badura, M. M. Nowaczyk, D. A. Gushin, M. Rögner, W. Schuhmann, *Angewandte Chemie*, **2013**, accepted,

Semi-artificial photosynthesis - half cells

